

Editorial

Diabetes, Obesity and Vascular Disease - An Update

Nikolaos Papanas¹, Niki Katsiki², Zsuzsanna Putz³ and Dimitri P. Mikhailidis²

¹Outpatient Clinic of Obesity, Diabetes and Metabolism, Second Department of Internal Medicine, Democritus University of Thrace, Greece; ²Department of Clinical Biochemistry (Vascular Disease Prevention Clinics), Royal Free Hospital campus, University College London Medical School, University College London (UCL), London NW3 2QG, UK; ³First Department of Medicine, Semmelweis University Budapest, Hungary

The increasing prevalence of diabetes mellitus (DM) [1, 2] and obesity [3, 4] inevitably leads to greater morbidity due to vascular disease [1, 2, 5]. As regards type 2 DM, the recent guidelines issued by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) [6] are expected to promote more evidence-based and efficacious antidiabetic treatment [7]. However, unresolved issues remain. The present issue of the journal provides an update on DM, obesity and vascular disease.

Banach *et al.* [8] discuss the growing concern about new-onset diabetes after statin therapy. This class of agents is important for the reduction of cardiovascular (CV) morbidity [9, 10]. Nevertheless, a number of untoward effects in terms of insulin sensitivity and secretion, as well as adipokine production, associated with their use are now being recognised [8, 11, 12]. Data pertaining to their effect on other adipokines is contradictory [8]. In practice, the risk for new, statin-induced DM appears to be mainly dose-dependent, while older age and pre-existing borderline glucose elevation may also play a role [13]. At the same time, the beneficial reduction in CV events accomplished by statin treatment in such patients outweighs the potential risk of DM [13]. Thus, identification of those at high risk of DM and balancing the risk *vs* benefit in the individual patient is emerging as a therapeutic choice [8]. Further knowledge is urgently needed in this area.

Another drug-associated safety concern is the increased risk of bladder cancer following pioglitazone therapy, as discussed by Kostapanos *et al.* [14]. This thiazolidinedione has been on the market for more than 10 years and is a useful component of antidiabetic therapy [14]. It should not escape our notice that clinical data on bladder cancer among pioglitazone-treated subjects are mostly observational and rather contradictory [15-17]. A dose- and time-dependent relationship between pioglitazone treatment and bladder cancer has been noted and older age appeared to increase this risk [14]. PROactive (PROspective pioglitazone Clinical Trial In macroVascular Events) was the sole prospective trial providing data on cancer risk: it documented an insignificant increase of bladder cancer among pioglitazone-treated patients, but mean follow-up was only 34.5 months and the number of events was very small [18]. To increase complexity, DM and obesity are associated with increased cancer risk independently of antidiabetic treatment [19,20]. As regards bladder cancer specifically, smoking is also relevant [21]. Hence, the association between pioglitazone and bladder cancer needs to be viewed with caution and placed in the overall context of cancer risk factors [14]. Of relevance, experimental data suggest a protective effect of pioglitazone in other cancer sites, while corresponding clinical data are currently inadequate and contradictory [14]. Thus, the authors [14] conclude that future prospective clinical trials taking into account all implicated risk factors should re-address the issue of pioglitazone-induced bladder cancer. The opinion of the editors is that there is a need for re-enquiry into the relationship between antidiabetic agents and carcinogenesis. In this context, it is now being appreciated that metformin has a potential to protect from cancer [22].

A further relevant issue is serum uric acid (SUA) in DM (reviewed by Katsiki *et al.*) [23]. Hyperuricaemia is associated with the metabolic syndrome (MetS) [24] and vascular morbidity and mortality [25]. In pre-diabetes and early DM, relative hyperinsulinaemia enhances tubular re-absorption of uric acid in the kidney, resulting in elevated SUA concentration [26, 27]. However, as hyperglycaemia increases, glycosuria leads to diminished SUA levels [23, 24, 26, 27]. In this context, persistent elevation of SUA reflects hyperinsulinaemia and insulin resistance, and so it has been identified as a predictor of progression to overt type 2 DM [23]. In the latter state, hyperuricaemia is driven by obesity, MetS, impaired kidney function and certain drugs (e.g. thiazides, niacin and low-dose salicylic acid) [23]. Conversely, antidiabetic agents, statins, fenofibrate and other agents may reduce SUA levels [23]. Moreover, patients with diabetic neuropathy have been shown to have higher SUA levels compared with those without this complication [28, 29]. Similarly, elevated SUA has been noted in the presence of other diabetic microvascular [30-33] and macrovascular complications [34-36]. Of note, efficacious antidiabetic treatment may contribute to the diminution of SUA [23]. In the light of these considerations, it remains to be ascertained whether prospective SUA evaluation and its pharmaceutical reduction are of prognostic significance in DM.

Pharmacotherapy for obesity currently offers limited options, but new drugs are being developed, as reviewed by Gouni-Berthold *et al.* [37]. Anti-obesity drugs are used either as monotherapy or in combination [37]. Among several agents at various stages of development, *Qnexa*, *Contrave* and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) analogues are the most promising [37]. *Qnexa* (FDA approval in 2012) is a combination of phentermine (an amphetamine derivative, adrenergic agonist and appetite suppressant) and topiramate (a neurostabilizer and anhydase inhibitor) [38]. *Contrave* is a combination of the antidepressant bupropion and the opioid receptor antagonist naltrexone [39]. Liraglutide is a long-acting injectable synthetic analogue of GLP-1, which has been approved for the treatment of DM [40, 41]. Despite some favourable results, 2 major unsettled issues remain. First, more data on safety is required. Secondly, we need more experience with their long-term efficacy, given that management of obesity necessitates lifelong diet, exercise and/or treatment. Currently, this information is rather limited, and so caution in the interpretation of results is warranted [37].

In the context of targeting atherosclerosis, ghrelin, a peptide hormone produced mainly in the stomach, may prove useful (reviewed by Rizzo *et al.*) [42]. There is evidence that this hormone exerts a number of beneficial CV effects in humans, including reduction of blood pressure, increase of myocardial strength, and vasodilatation [42]. It also appears that ghrelin may exert an anti-inflammatory action [43]. However, there is also evidence of adverse metabolic actions of ghrelin, notably induction of lipolysis and insulin resistance [42, 44], along with suppression of pancreatic insulin secretion, impaired glucose tolerance and hyperglycaemia [41, 45]. To the best of current knowledge this apparent paradox in terms of beneficial and unfavourable actions of ghrelin may be explained by differences in ghrelin levels (supraphysi-

ologic vs physiologic concentrations) and clinical conditions (normal vs established atherosclerosis). There is a long way to go until therapeutic implementation of ghrelin can become a reality.

Lioudaki *et al.* [46] review the importance of microalbuminuria in non-diabetic subjects. The link with increased CV risk is largely attributable to the association of microalbuminuria with hypertension, atherosclerosis, endothelial dysfunction, insulin resistance, MetS, impaired kidney function and systemic inflammation [47, 48]. Better appreciation of the role of microalbuminuria is, therefore, advocated [46]. This interpretation may include a revised definition of microalbuminuria [49] and more aggressive therapeutic intervention.

Diabetic neuropathy is a major complication of DM and Várkonyi *et al.* [50] provide an update on its treatment. First, optimal glycaemic control is important, more so for type 1 than for type 2 DM [51]. Traditional CV risk factors (hyperlipidaemia, hypertension, smoking and obesity) should also be addressed [50]. Furthermore, there is a role for pathogenesis-oriented treatment, namely use of aldose reductase inhibitors, benfotiamine, alpha-lipoic acid and other less studied agents [50]. Alpha-lipoic acid is promising, as demonstrated in a recent 4-year trial [52]. Finally, symptomatic treatment mainly aims to alleviate neuropathic pain by use of modern anticonvulsants (pregabalin, gabapentin and others), antidepressants (duloxetine, traditional tricyclic agents), opiates, locally applied options (capsaicin, lidocaine) and non-pharmacological measures (e.g. physical exercise, phototherapy, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation or acupuncture) [50]. Combination therapy can be used [50], but further progress with this approach is eagerly awaited.

The diabetic foot is a grim complication that may lead to limb loss [53]. Edmonds [54] reviews the treatment of infection and ischaemia. Prompt initiation of aggressive antibiotic therapy, appropriate surgical debridement and revascularisation as necessary remain the main therapeutic principles [54]. Especially the need and the degree of urgency for revascularisation call upon the experience of the clinician to decide on a case-by-case basis [54]. Progress in revascularisation modalities, particularly endovascular treatment, has dramatically improved outcomes [55]. Not to be underestimated, all treatment should be offered in the setting of a multidisciplinary foot clinic [53, 54, 56-58].

The articles in the present special issue suggest that substantial progress has been achieved in the field of DM, obesity and vascular disease. However, several areas require further research to improve outcomes.

Comment on Relevant Topics not Covered in this Issue of the Journal:

Several topics are not covered in the present issue of the journal due to limitations of space. Among these is the thrombotic diathesis associated with DM. Furthermore, there is evidence that antiplatelet therapy is not as effective in patients with DM in comparison to those without DM. This subject is considered elsewhere [59-62]. The present issue of the journal also did not cover type 2 DM prevention in the general population. This topic deserves considerable attention and has been the subject of appropriately designed trials in the past and present [63-67]. Its importance is enhanced by the more recent recognition that microvascular complications may begin to develop even in the prediabetic phase [68]. Gestational DM is also a specific aspect of both DM prevention and management that requires greater recognition [69]. Moreover, diabetic retinopathy remains a common cause of blindness worldwide. There is no doubt that we need to improve screening programmes to provide appropriate treatment as early as possible [70-72]. However, we also need more research into the association of modifiable risk factors with retinopathy.

Pancreatic beta-cell transplantation may well become the future treatment for DM [73]. This option will be the subject of extensive research in the following years. Finally, hypoglycaemia and level of glycaemic control present another currently active debate regarding optimal antidiabetic treatment [74, 75].

Clearly, the treatment of DM is a vast topic that is constantly being updated [76]. We hope to return in the future with another issue of the journal dedicated to this theme.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

This editorial was written independently. No company or institution supported it financially. N Papanas has been an advisory board member of TrigoCare International, has participated in sponsored studies by Novo Nordisk and Novartis, has received honoraria as a speaker for Novo Nordisk and Pfizer and has attended conferences sponsored by TrigoCare International, Novo Nordisk, sanofi-aventis and Pfizer. N Katsiki has attended conferences sponsored by AstraZeneca, Pfizer and Merck Sharp & Dohme (MSD). Zsuzsanna Putz has had speaking engagements from Eli Lilly and Novo Nordisk. DP Mikhailidis has been an advisory board member and speaker for MSD and has attended conferences sponsored by AstraZeneca, sanofi-aventis and MSD.

REFERENCES

- [1] Colagiuri S, Borch-Johnsen K, Glümer C, Vistisen D. There really is an epidemic of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2005; 48: 1459-63.
- [2] Wareham NJ. Epidemiology of type 2 diabetes. *Endocrinol Nutr* 2009; 56 Suppl 4: 60-2.
- [3] Howel D. Waist circumference and abdominal obesity among older adults: patterns, prevalence and trends. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e48528.
- [4] Xi B, Liang Y, He T, Reilly KH, Hu Y, Wang Q *et al.* Secular trends in the prevalence of general and abdominal obesity among Chinese adults, 1993-2009. *Obes Rev* 2012; 13: 287-96.
- [5] Paraskevas KI, Bessias N, Papas TT, Gekas CD, Andrikopoulos V, Mikhailidis DP. Do different vascular risk factors affect all arteries equally? *Angiology* 2008; 59: 397-401.
- [6] Inzucchi SE, Bergenstal RM, Buse JB, Diamant M, Ferrannini E, Nauck M *et al.* Management of hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes: a patient-centered approach. Position statement of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *Diabetologia* 2012; 55: 1577-96.
- [7] Papanas N, Maltezos E. Antidiabetic treatment: Though lovers be lost, love shall not. *World J Diabetes* 2012; 3: 158-60.
- [8] Banach M, Malodobra-Mazur M, Gluba A, Katsiki N, Rysz J, Dobrzyn A. Statin therapy and new-onset diabetes: molecular mechanisms and clinical relevance. *Curr Pharm Des* 2013; 19(27): 4904-12.
- [9] Lewis SJ. Lipid-lowering therapy: who can benefit? *Vasc Health Risk Manag* 2011; 7: 525-34.
- [10] Mikhailidis DP, Elisaf M, Rizzo M, Berneis K, Griffin B, Zambon A *et al.* "European panel on low density lipoprotein (LDL) subclasses": a statement on the pathophysiology, atherogenicity and clinical significance of LDL subclasses: executive summary. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol* 2011; 9: 531-2.
- [11] Koh KK, Quon MJ, Sakuma I, Han SH, Choi H, Lee K *et al.* Differential metabolic effects of rosuvastatin and pravastatin in hypercholesterolemic patients. *Int J Cardiol* 2011 Dec 26. [Epub ahead of print].
- [12] Koh KK, Quon MJ, Sakuma I, Lee Y, Lim S, Han SH *et al.* Effects of simvastatin therapy on circulating adipocytokines in patients with hypercholesterolemia. *Int J Cardiol* 2011; 146: 434-7.

- [13] Preiss D, Seshasai SR, Welsh P, Murphy SA, Ho JE, Waters DD *et al.* Risk of incident diabetes with intensive-dose compared with moderate-dose statin therapy: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2011; 305: 2556-64.
- [14] Kostapanos MS, Elisaf MS, Mikhailidis DP. Pioglitazone and cancer: angel or demon? *Curr Pharm Des* 2013; 19(27): 4913-29.
- [15] Lewis JD, Ferrara A, Peng T, Hedderson M, Bilker WB, Quesenberry CP Jr *et al.* Risk of bladder cancer among diabetic patients treated with pioglitazone: interim report of a longitudinal cohort study. *Diabetes Care* 2011; 34: 916-22.
- [16] Piccinini C, Motola D, Marchesini G, Poluzzi E. Assessing the association of pioglitazone use and bladder cancer through drug adverse event reporting. *Diabetes Care* 2011; 34: 1369-71.
- [17] Tseng CH. Pioglitazone and bladder cancer: a population-based study of Taiwanese. *Diabetes Care* 2012; 35: 278-80.
- [18] Dormandy JA, Charbonnel B, Eckland DJ, Erdmann E, Massi-Benedetti M, Moules IK *et al.* Secondary prevention of macrovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes in the PROactive Study (PROspective pioglitAzone Clinical Trial In macroVascular Events): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2005; 366: 1279-89.
- [19] Giovannucci E, Harlan DM, Archer MC, Bergenstal RM, Gapstur SM, Habel LA *et al.* Diabetes and cancer: a consensus report. *Diabetes Care* 2010; 33: 1674-85.
- [20] Renehan AG, Frystyk J, Flyvbjerg A. Obesity and cancer risk: the role of the insulin-IGF axis. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 2006; 17: 328-36.
- [21] Freedman ND, Silverman DT, Hollenbeck AR, Schatzkin A, Abnet CC. Association between smoking and risk of bladder cancer among men and women. *JAMA* 2011; 306: 737-45.
- [22] Papanas N, Maltezos E, Mikhailidis DP. Metformin and cancer: licence to heal? *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* 2010; 19: 913-7.
- [23] Katsiki N, Papanas N, Fonseca VA, Maltezos E, Mikhailidis DP. Uric acid and diabetes: is there a link? *Curr Pharm Des* 2012; current issue, in press
- [24] Tsouli SG, Liberopoulos EN, Mikhailidis DP, Athyros VG, Elisaf MS. Elevated serum uric acid levels in metabolic syndrome: an active component or an innocent bystander? *Metabolism* 2006; 55: 1293-301.
- [25] Daskalopoulou SS, Athyros VG, Elisaf M, Mikhailidis DP. Uric acid levels and vascular disease. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2004; 20: 951-4.
- [26] Modan M, Halkin H, Karasik A, Lusky A. Elevated serum uric acid—a facet of hyperinsulinaemia. *Diabetologia* 1987; 30: 713-8.
- [27] Chou P, Lin KC, Lin HY, Tsai ST. Gender differences in the relationships of serum uric acid with fasting serum insulin and plasma glucose in patients without diabetes. *J Rheumatol* 2001; 28: 571-6.
- [28] Papanas N, Katsiki N, Papatheodorou K, Demetriou M, Papazoglou D, Gioka T *et al.* Peripheral neuropathy is associated with increased serum levels of uric acid in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Angiology* 2011; 62: 291-5.
- [29] Papanas N, Demetriou M, Katsiki N, Papatheodorou K, Papazoglou D, Gioka T *et al.* Increased serum levels of uric acid are associated with sudomotor dysfunction in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Exp Diabetes Res* 2011; 2011: 346051.
- [30] Hovind P, Rossing P, Tarnow L, Johnson RJ, Parving HH. Serum uric acid as a predictor for development of diabetic nephropathy in type 1 diabetes: an inception cohort study. *Diabetes* 2009; 58: 1668-71.
- [31] Jalal DI, Rivard CJ, Johnson RJ, Maahs DM, McFann K, Rewers M *et al.* Serum uric acid levels predict the development of albuminuria over 6 years in patients with type 1 diabetes: findings from the Coronary Artery Calcification in Type 1 Diabetes study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2010; 25: 1865-9.
- [32] Cai XL, Wang F, Ji LN. Risk factors of diabetic retinopathy in type 2 diabetic patients. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 2006; 119: 822-6.
- [33] Fukui M, Tanaka M, Shiraishi E, Harusato I, Hosoda H, Asano M *et al.* Serum uric acid is associated with microalbuminuria and subclinical atherosclerosis in men with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Metabolism* 2008; 57: 625-9.
- [34] Rathmann W, Hauner H, Dannehl K, Gries FA. Association of elevated serum uric acid with coronary heart disease in diabetes mellitus. *Diabete Metab* 1993; 19: 159-66.
- [35] Seghieri G, Moruzzo D, Fascetti S, Bambini C, Anichini R, De Bellis A *et al.* Increase in serum uric acid is selectively associated with stroke in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2002; 25: 1095.
- [36] Newman EJ, Rahman FS, Lees KR, Weir CJ, Walters MR. Elevated serum urate concentration independently predicts poor outcome following stroke in patients with diabetes. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2006; 22: 79-82.
- [37] Gouni-Berthold I, Brünig JC, Berthold HK. Novel approaches to the pharmacotherapy of obesity. *Curr Pharm Des* 2013; 19(27): 4938-52.
- [38] Bray GA, Hollander P, Klein S, Kushner R, Levy B, Fitchet M *et al.* A 6-month randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging trial of topiramate for weight loss in obesity. *Obes Res* 2003; 11: 722-33.
- [39] Greenway FL, Dunayevich E, Tollefson G, Erickson J, Guttadauria M, Fujioka K *et al.* Comparison of combined bupropion and naltrexone therapy for obesity with monotherapy and placebo. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2009; 94: 4898-906.
- [40] Astrup A, Rossner S, Van GL, Rissanen A, Niskanen L, Al HM *et al.* Effects of liraglutide in the treatment of obesity: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Lancet* 2009; 374: 1606-16.
- [41] Astrup A, Carraro R, Finer N, Harper A, Kunesova M, Lean ME *et al.* Safety, tolerability and sustained weight loss over 2 years with the once-daily human GLP-1 analog, liraglutide. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2012; 36: 843-54.
- [42] Rizzo M, Rizvi AA, Sudar E, Soskic S, Obradovic M, Montalto G *et al.* A review of the cardiovascular and anti-atherogenic effects of ghrelin. *Curr Pharm Des* 2013; 19(27): 4953-63.
- [43] Kellokoski E, Kunnari A, Jokela M, Mäkelä S, Kesäniemi YA, Hökkö S. Ghrelin and obestatin modulate early atherogenic processes on cells: enhancement of monocyte adhesion and oxidized low-density lipoprotein binding. *Metabolism* 2009; 58: 1572-80.
- [44] Vestergaard ET, Gormsen LC, Jessen N, Lund S, Hansen TK, Møller N *et al.* Ghrelin infusion in humans induces acute insulin resistance and lipolysis independent of growth hormone signaling. *Diabetes* 2008; 57: 3205-10.
- [45] Dezaki K, Sone H, Koizumi M, Nakata M, Kakei M, Nagai H *et al.* Blockade of pancreatic islet-derived ghrelin enhances insulin secretion to prevent high-fat diet-induced glucose intolerance. *Diabetes* 2006; 55: 3486-93.
- [46] Lioudaki E, Florentin M, Ganotakis ES, Mikhailidis DP. Microalbuminuria: a neglected cardiovascular risk factor in non-diabetic individuals? *Curr Pharm Des* 2013; 19(27): 4964-80.
- [47] Jorgensen L, Jenssen T, Johnsen SH, Mathiesen EB, Heuch I, Joakimsen O *et al.* Albuminuria as risk factor for initiation and progression of carotid atherosclerosis in non-diabetic persons: the Tromsø Study. *Eur Heart J* 2007; 28: 363-9.
- [48] Athyros VG, Kakafika AI, Karagiannis A, Mikhailidis DP. Do we need to consider inflammatory markers when we treat atherosclerotic disease? *Atherosclerosis* 2008; 200: 1-12.
- [49] Karagiannis A, Mikhailidis DP, Tziomalos K, Kakafika AI, Athyros VG. Has the time come for a new definition of microalbuminuria? *Curr Vasc Pharmacol* 2008; 6: 81-3.
- [50] Várkonyi T, Putz Z, Keresztes K, Martos T, Lengyel C, Stirban A *et al.* Advances in the treatment of diabetic neuropathy. *Curr Pharm Des* 2013; 19(27): 4981-5007.
- [51] Callaghan BC, Little AA, Feldman EL, Hughes RA. Enhanced glucose control for preventing and treating diabetic neuropathy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012; 6: CD007543.
- [52] Papanas N, Maltezos E. α -Lipoic acid, diabetic neuropathy, and Nathan's prophecy. *Angiology* 2012; 63: 81-3.
- [53] Papanas N, Maltezos E, Edmonds M. Salvation of the diabetic foot: still a quest for the Holy Grail? *Vasa* 2011; 40: 267-9.
- [54] Edmonds M. Modern treatment of infection and ischaemia to reduce major amputation in the diabetic foot. *Curr Pharm Des* 2013; 19(27): 5008-15.
- [55] Georgakarakos E, Papanas N, Papadaki E, Georgiadis GS, Maltezos E, Lazarides MK. Endovascular treatment of critical ischemia in the diabetic foot: new thresholds, new anatomies. *Angiology* 2012 Nov 4. [Epub ahead of print].

- [56] Antonoglou C, Papanas N, Maltezos E. Lipid-lowering therapy in the diabetic foot: seeing the whole iceberg and not just the tip. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol* 2012 Sep 24. [Epub ahead of print].
- [57] Tiaka EK, Papanas N, Manolakis AC, Maltezos E. The role of hyperbaric oxygen in the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers. *Angiology* 2012; 63: 302-14.
- [58] Papanas N, Eleftheriadou I, Tentolouris N, Maltezos E. Advances in the topical treatment of diabetic foot ulcers. *Curr Diabetes Rev* 2012; 8: 209-18.
- [59] Grove EL, Gregersen S. Antiplatelet therapy in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol* 2012; 10: 494-505.
- [60] Vazzana N, Ranalli P, Cuccurullo C, Davì G. Diabetes mellitus and thrombosis. *Thromb Res* 2012; 129: 371-7.
- [61] Di Minno MN, Lupoli R, Palmieri NM, Russolillo A, Buonauro A, Di Minno G. Aspirin resistance, platelet turnover, and diabetic angiopathy: a 2011 update. *Thromb Res* 2012; 129: 341-4.
- [62] Jagroop IA, Mikhailidis DP. Platelets and diabetes: a complex association. *Platelets* 2012; in press.
- [63] Liberopoulos EN, Tsouli S, Mikhailidis DP, Elisaf MS. Preventing type 2 diabetes in high risk patients: an overview of lifestyle and pharmacological measures. *Curr Drug Targets* 2006; 7: 211-28.
- [64] Defina LF, Vega GL, Leonard D, Grundy SM. Fasting glucose, obesity, and metabolic syndrome as predictors of type 2 diabetes: the Cooper Center longitudinal study. *J Investig Med* 2012 Oct 29. [Epub ahead of print].
- [65] Bergman M. Pathophysiology of prediabetes and treatment implications for the prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Endocrine* 2012 Nov 7. [Epub ahead of print].
- [66] Goldberg RB, Mather K. Targeting the consequences of the metabolic syndrome in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2012; 32: 2077-90.
- [67] Tabák AG, Herder C, Rathmann W, Brunner EJ, Kivimäki M. Prediabetes: a high-risk state for diabetes development. *Lancet* 2012; 379: 2279-90.
- [68] Papanas N, Vinik AI, Ziegler D. Neuropathy in prediabetes: does the clock start ticking early? *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2011; 7: 682-90.
- [69] Wendland EM, Torloni MR, Falavigna M, Trujillo J, Dode MA, Campos MA *et al*. Gestational diabetes and pregnancy outcomes--a systematic review of the World Health Organization (WHO) and the International Association of Diabetes in Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG) diagnostic criteria. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2012; 12: 23.
- [70] Wang S, Park JK, Duh EJ. Novel targets against retinal angiogenesis in diabetic retinopathy. *Curr Diab Rep* 2012; 12: 355-63.
- [71] Kumar B, Gupta SK, Saxena R, Srivastava S. Current trends in the pharmacotherapy of diabetic retinopathy. *J Postgrad Med* 2012; 58: 132-9.
- [72] Peto T, Tadros C. Screening for diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema in the United Kingdom. *Curr Diab Rep* 2012; 12: 338-45.
- [73] Dhanireddy KK. Pancreas transplantation. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 2012; 41: 133-42.
- [74] Koshizaka M, Green JB, Alexander JH. Glycemic management in diabetes and the associated cardiovascular risk: are we helping or hurting our patients? *Circ J* 2012; 76: 1572-80.
- [75] Inkster B, Zammit NN, Frier BM. Drug-induced hypoglycaemia in type 2 diabetes. *Expert Opin Drug Saf* 2012; 11: 597-614.
- [76] Katsiki N, Papanas N, Mikhailidis DP. Dapagliflozin: more than just another oral glucose-lowering agent? *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* 2010; 19: 1581-9.

D.P. Mikhailidis BSc MSc MD FCP FFPM FRCP FRCPATH

Academic Head

Dept. of Clinical Biochemistry (Vascular Disease Prevention Clinics)

Royal Free Hospital campus

University College London Medical School

University College London

Pond Street

London NW3 2QG,

UK

Tel: 0044 (0) 20 7830 2258,

Fax: 0044 (0) 20 7830 2235

E-mail: mikhailidis@aol.com